

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/809,447	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Matthew Heneghan	<b>Art Unit</b> 2139	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Matthew Heneghan.

(2) Eric Barr.

**Date of Interview:** 8 May 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  
  

Claims discussed:  
18

Prior art documents discussed:

  
  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The Examiner and Attorney Barr agreed to incorporate claim 19 into claim 18 by an Examiner's amendment in order to avoid a possible rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

/Matthew Heneghan/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:20 PM EDT

  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)